Ref. Ares(2023)2345900 - 31/03/2023
Ref. Ares(2026)1361809 - 06/02/2026

CRANE

D5.8 Evaluation information - publishable (Phase 2)

Dissemination Level:	**PU**
Deliverable Type:	**R**
Date:	**31 Oct 2024**
Distribution:	**WP5**
Editors:	**EXT**
Contributors:	**All Partners**

*** Dissemination Level:**	*PU=Public; CO=Confidential, only for members of the Consortium (including the Commission services); EU-RES= Classified information: RESTRAINT UE (Commission Decision 2005/444/EC); EU-CON= Classified Information: CONFIDENTIEL UE (Commission Decision 2005/444/EC); EU-SEC=Classified Information: SECRET UE (Commission Decision 2005/444/EC)*
**** Deliverable Type:**	*R=Document, report; DEM=Demonstrator, pilot, prototype; DEC=Websites, patent fillings, videos, etc; ETHICS=Ethics requirement; ORDP=Open Research Data Pilot; DATA=data sets, microdata, etc.; OTHER*

Funded by the European Union

This project has received funding from the European Union´s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 965277

DISCLAIMER

The work associated with this document has been carried out in accordance with the highest technical standards and CRANE partners have endeavored to achieve the appropriate degree of accuracy and reliability. However, since the partners have no control over the use to which the information contained within the document is to be put by any other party, any other such party shall be deemed to have satisfied itself as to the suitability and reliability of the information in relation to any particular use, purpose or application.

Under no circumstances will any of the partners, their servants, employees or agents accept any liability whatsoever arising out of any error or inaccuracy contained in this document (or any further consolidation, summary, publication or dissemination of the information) and/or the connected work. CRANE disclaim all liability for infringement of third-party rights and any loss, damage, expenses or claims suffered by any person using the information.

This document contains material and information, which is copyright of certain CRANE consortium parties and may not be reproduced, disclosed or copied without the consortium agreement and permission. The commercial use of any information in this document may require a license from the proprietor of that information.

The contents of this document are the sole responsibility of the CRANE consortium and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Commission.

Funded by the European Union

This project has received funding from the European Union´s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 965277

Table of Contents

Table of Contents 3

List of Authors 5

Document History 5

List of Annex 6

Description 6

PROCUREMENT REPORT AND EVALUATION PROTOCOL– CRANE PCP 7

The procurement *7*

The Buyers Group *7*

Bodies involved in appraisal and evaluation of the incoming tenders *7*

Evaluation Committee meetings *8*

Proposed Decision 9

Tenders received 9

Exclusion criterias, selection criterias, On/off criterias and formalrequirements 9

Evaluation 10

Disclaimer 11

Procedures for appeal 11

PROCUREMENT REPORT AND EVALUATION PROTOCOL AMENDMENT – CRANE PCP 12

Original information in the section Evaluation 12

The correct information in the section Evaluation 12

The identified typo 13

1. Meeting information 1

2. Confirmed participants 1

3. Agenda 1

4. Walk through Regions evaluation, scoring and argumentation 1

5. Common agreement on scoring and ranking 1

6. Final scoring and argumentation of each criterion for all tenders 1

7. Evaluation Committee confirmation of final ranking of the incoming tenders list 1

List of Authors

Partner	Authors
EXT	Carmen Galán de Isla
EXT	Jonathan Gómez-Raja
VLD	Guillem Castro
VLD	Sofia Moreno

Document History

Date	Version	Editors	Status
10-10-2024	1.0	Carmen Galán de Isla	Draft
16-10-2024	1.1	Jonathan Gomez	Revision of draft v1
06-11-2024	1.2	Guillem Castro	Information added and draft ready for Consortium review
13-11-2024	1.3	Carmen Galán	Send to revision
27-11-2024	2.0	Carmen Galán de Isla	Final version to submit

Funded by the European Union

This project has received funding from the European Union´s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 965277

Description

This deliverable includes a short summary publishable of the received tenders for PCP and the results of the exclusion and evaluation process.

All the information included in this deliverable was shared through the CRANE official channels and now is available in the website:

- Tender Award decision (Phase 2): https://crane-pcp.eu/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/2024-11-21-Award-decision-phase-3-signed.pdf
- Procurement report of the Evaluation Process (Phase 2): https://crane-pcp.eu/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/2023-07-06-Report-phase-2.pdf
- Procurement report and Evaluation Protocol Amendment (Phase 2): https://crane-pcp.eu/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/2023-08-28-Report-phase-2-Amendment.pdf

PROCUREMENT REPORT AND EVALUATION PROTOCOL– CRANE PCP

The procurement

Pre-Commercial Procurement of R&D (Research and Development) Services to develop and ICT enabled comprehensive treatment of chronic patients in rural areas (CRANE).
The Phase 2 Call-off was published in TED at the 22nd of May 2023 and later at the procurement platform eAvrop. There is a connection between eAvrop and TED. By advertising and changing an advertisement in eAvrop, the corresponding advertisement or change must be made in TED. The procurement was opened on a restricted basis to participant entities during Phase 1 of CRANE PCP procurement. The final submission deadline was set for 21st of June 2023.

The Buyers Group

Region Västerbotten, Sweden, is the lead procurer and has been appointed to coordinate and lead the joint CRANE PCP, and to sign and award the Framework Agreement and the specific contracts for all phases of the PCP, in the name and on behalf of the Buyers Group, which also consists of Region Agder, Norway, and Region Extremadura, Spain.

Bodies involved in appraisal and evaluation of the incoming tenders

CRANE appraisal and evaluation bodies has been composed of Regional Advisory Teams, Technical Compliance Expert Team and Value Based Impact experts and the Evaluation Committee.

The Regional Advisory Team consist of staff from the buyer region organisation, who have to certain extent been involved in CRANE and has a good understanding of the CRANE vision and the expected CRANE service solution. The profile of different Regional Advisory Team members is up the regions to choose but there is understanding among the buyer regions that the Regional Advisory Teams are expected to have knowledge connected to the CRANE building blocks:

1. Data management,
2. Trend technologies,
3. Garden of care, and their actors (specifically social services and healthcare services),
4. People matter and
5. Value based impact.

Other skills such as project management and expertise from ICT implementation are welcomed.

The Technical Compliance Expert Team was headed by one assigned CRANE expert partner. Each buyer region was asked to nominate one technical expert to form part of the Technical Compliance Expert Team. The final selection of technical experts was made by the Evaluation Committee, all together 4 technical experts were nominated and accepted by the Evaluation Committee. The Evaluation Committee assessed the nominated experts from the criteria of:

- Degree in Computer Science or similar (valuable Master and or PhD);

Funded by the European Union

This project has received funding from the European Union´s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 965277

- 10 years of experience in IT development projects, specially related to data platforms;
- Knowledge in the areas of data architectures and standards, REST, blockchain, SMC, microservices

All buyer regions nominated external Technical Compliance Expert partners, which was endorsed by the Evaluation Committee.

The Value Based Impact Experts were nominated by the buyer regions, and assessed by the Evaluation Committee to have a deep understanding of the values to be generated from especially a citizen's point of view, as well as health and social care professionals' point of view and healthcare and social service organisations point of view. The nominated Value Based Experts are one professor from a Swedish university and one CRANE consortium expert partner. The two nominated Value Based Impact experts were endorsed by the Evaluation Committee. One buyer region did not nominate any Value Based Impact expert. The Evaluation Committee accepted only 2 Value Based Experts.

The Evaluation Committee is the only body that officially assess, evaluate, score the tenders and provide an argumentation of the score. The Evaluation Committee consists of two members from each buyer region. The Evaluation Committee has been chaired by the Lead Procurer – Region Västerbotten.

Evaluation Committee meetings

The Evaluation Committee has met on a weekly basis, during the evaluation process, to discuss the progress of the Regional Advisory Teams, Technical Compliance team and to inform the Value Based Impact experts what tender proposals to appraise from their perspective. The weekly informal Evaluation Committee meetings has taken place online.

The final appraisals from Regional Advisory Teams, Technical Compliance Expert team and Value Based Impact experts where shared between the Evaluation Committee members 2nd July 2023.

CRANE Evaluation Committee met up in Stockholm at the 3rd-4th of July 2023 to make the final assessment/evaluation and to agree on a final selection of proposals to be awarded a contract. Input from Value Based Experts and Technical Compliance Team was considered in the Evaluation Committee final selection.

CRANE Evaluation Committe confirmed the final Evaluation Committee scoring and the ranking of tender proposals. An award notice was published by Region Västerbotten procurement unit at the 06th of July 2023.

The entire selection process followed the procedure described in "Opening of tenders & evaluation" in TD1. The complete assessment procedure of all incoming tenders was conducted within the timeframe outlined in the tender documents.

Funded by the European Union

This project has received funding from the European Union´s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 965277

Proposed Decision

The contracts for phase 2 in the procurement are – without any mutual ranking – awarded to:

1) LCubed AB (iGrant.io)
2) Data for Good foundation
3) TELEVES S.A.U.

Tenders received

In phase 2 of the procurement, the following tenderers were invited to submit a tender:

1) LCubed AB (iGrant.io)

2) Data for Good foundation

3) Egde Consulting AS

4) Televes S.A.U.

5) Wiring Technologies SL

All of the invited tenderers submitted a tender.

Exclusion criterias, selection criterias, On/off criterias and formal requirements

All tenders met the exclusion criterias, selection criterias, On/off criterias and/or the formal requirements. Therefore, all tenders were approved for the next step in the process, the evaluation of the tenders.

Funded by the European Union

This project has received funding from the European Union´s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 965277

Evaluation

All the tenders were evaluated according to section 3.4.2 Weighted award criteria, 3.4.3 Point system, 3.5 Price-quality ratio and 3.6 Evaluation procedure: Opening of tenders & evaluation in TD1. The evaluation carried out led to the following results:

Tenderer	Evaluation Committee Score	EC Price-quality ratio
LCubed AB (iGrant.io) (dHDSI)	87,0	86,4
Data for Good foundation (Data for Care)	91,0	90,7
Egde Consulting AS (Antigone)	77,0	77,6
Televes S.A.U. (My Rural Health)	88,0	89,6
Wiring Technologies SL (Habeats)	83,0	85,2

Disclaimer

This award decision does not mean that the Buyers Group has accepted any tender and that a binding agreement therefor exists. The Buyer group has the right to reconsider or revoke the decision. A binding agreement exists when the contracts has been signed by both parties.

Procedures for appeal

Please note that this is a pre-commercial procurement that is not covered by the EU procurement directives or Swedish law on public procurement. This means that it is not possible to obtain a Swedish administrative court's review of the decision made by the Buyers Group.

The Buyers Groups observes a voluntary standstill period for 15 days.

Amendment from Procurement report

The following amendment was prepared and published on 28th August 2023. Due to a revision of the documentation, the buyers group identified a typo in the Evaluation section in the previous procurement report and protocol dated July 6 2023, that was sent out to all bidders in phase 2 of the CRANE PCP.

PROCUREMENT REPORT AND EVALUATION PROTOCOL AMENDMENT – CRANE PCP

Original information in the section Evaluation

All the tenders were evaluated according to section 3.4.2 Weighted award criteria, 3.4.3 Point system, 3.5 Price-quality ratio and 3.6 Evaluation procedure: Opening of tenders & evaluation in TD1. The evaluation carried out led to the following results:

Tenderer	Evaluation Committee Score	EC Price-quality ratio
LCubed AB (iGrant.io) (dHDSI)	87,0	86,4
Data for Good foundation (Data for Care)	91,0	90,7
Egde Consulting AS (Antigone)	77,0	77,6
TELEVES S.A.U. (My Rural Health)	88,0	89,6
Wiring Technologies SL (Habeats)	83,0	85,2

The correct information in the section Evaluation

All the tenders were evaluated according to section 3.4.2 Weighted award criteria, 3.4.3 Point system, 3.5 Price-quality ratio and 3.6 Evaluation procedure: Opening of tenders & evaluation in TD1. The evaluation carried out led to the following results:

Tenderer	Evaluation Committee Score	EC Price-quality ratio
LCubed AB (iGrant.io) (dHDSI)	88,0	86,4
Data for Good foundation (Data for Care)	91,0	90,7
Egde Consulting AS (Antigone)	77,0	77,6
TELEVES S.A.U. (My Rural Health)	88,0	89,6
Wiring Technologies SL (Habeats)	83,0	85,2

The identified typo

The typo refers to the “Evaluation Committee Score” for LCubed AB (iGrant.io). In the report from July 6 2023 LCubed has received a score of 87,0, and a score of 86,4 for the “Price-quality ratio”.

The correct Evaluation Committee score for LCubed AB (iGrant.io) is 88,0. Since this was a typo, the correct score for “Price-quality ratio” is still the same, 86,4.

This has in no way affected the outcome of the evaluation of the tenders and the result of the tenderers that have received the contracts for phase 2 in the PCP. However, the buyers group apologize for the typo in the original report.

CRANE

CRANE Evaluation committee meeting (04.07.2023)

Stockholm - Meeting minutes summary

Funded by the European Union

This project has received funding from the European Union´s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 965277

1. Meeting information

Date: 03-04.07.2023
Time: 13:00

2. Confirmed participants

	Partner	Short name	Atendee
1	REGION VASTERBOTTEN	RVB	Niclas Forsling, Andreas Lundqvist
2	VICEPRESIDENCIA 2ª Y CONSEJERIA DE SANIDAD Y SERVICIOS SOCIALES - JUNTA DE EXTREMADURA	EXT	Verónica Martin, Carla Venturi, Jonathan Gómez
3	KRISTIANSAND KOMMUNE	KSK	Ingrid Kjørstad, Robert Hogval, Björn Bakke

3. Agenda

- Check-in
- Walk through Regions evaluation, scoring and argumentation
- Common agreement on scoring and ranking
- Final scoring and argumentation of each criterion for all tenders
- Evaluation Committee confirmation of final ranking of the incoming tenders
- Closing & and summary

4. Walk through Regions evaluation, scoring and argumentation

Each region presented their evaluation, scoring and argumentation made by the RATs. All documents can be located in the Dropbox under each Regional RAT.

Furthermore, the Technical and Value based evaluation scoring and argumentation was presented.

5. Common agreement on scoring and ranking

Based on the above presentations the Evaluation Committee agreed on a common scoring and ranking for each incoming proposal.

6. Final scoring and argumentation of each criterion for all tenders

The Evaluation Committee formulated the final scoring and argumentation for each criterion for all the tenders including the price ratio.

7. Evaluation Committee confirmation of final ranking of the incoming tenders list

The Evaluation Committee agreed on the final ranking of the incoming tenders. Please see the table below.

Funded by the European Union

This project has received funding from the European Union´s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 965277

Ranking	No	Lead	Project Name	Evaluation Committee Final Sc	EC Price-quality ratic
1	7	Data for Good	**Data For Care**	91,0	90,7
2	16	TELEVES S.A.U.	**MyRuralHealth**	88,0	89,6
3	6	Combitech AB	**dHDSI - Decentralised Health Data Space Infrastructure**	88,0	86,4
4	19	WIRING TECHNOLOGIES SL (8WIRES	**HABEATS**	83,0	85,2
5	9	Egde Consultants AS	**Antigone – A Reference Architecture and initial services for CRANE**	77,0	77,6

Each Region Lead confirm hereby the evaluation, scoring, argumentation and final selection and ranking of incoming tenders for CRANE Phase 2 – Prototype development Phase.

Funded by the European Union

This project has received funding from the European Union´s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 965277

Region Västerbotten,

Lead, Niclas Forsling

Date 04.07.2023

(signature on file with the version submitted to the European Commission)

Region Extramadura

Lead, Jonathan Gomez

Date 04.07.2023

(signature on file with the version submitted to the European Commission)

Region Agder

Lead, Ingrid Kjørstad

Date 04.07.2023

(signature on file with the version submitted to the European Commission)

Funded by the European Union

This project has received funding from the European Union´s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 965277